	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/902,572	ASHKENAZI ET /	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Daniel M. Sullivan</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Daphne Reddy</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 August 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			٠
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:		,	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.		• .	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since the	e substance
	-	<del></del>	·
DS. 00-a-			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding the failure to respond to the Office letter mailed 19 January 2005. In the course of the interview it was determined that the letter had been mailed to the wrong address as a consequence of the Office's failure to enter the change of address filed 27 February 2003. In view of this, the letter is being remailed with a new period for response..